Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/764,975	TAMAKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Frantz F. Jules	3617		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Frantz F. Jules.	(3)			
(2) <u>Richard H. Handerson</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>02 May 2005</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's represen	tative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>NONE</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)⊡ was not reached. h)□ N/A.	·	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant was notified of informalities constituted by the presence of the word means in the abstract. Authorization was given to the examiner to address the deficiency by examiner's amendment.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments t			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	Examiner's signature, if required		